

Course:	DMD 315 A - Mobile Applications	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 6 (33.33%)

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 315 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0	1
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 315 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q6	Technical support was available.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0	1
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 315 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q11	Student status:	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.5	.50

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 315 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q12	Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

**FA 2014-2015 In-Class Course Evaluation - End of Term
FA 2014-2015**

**Dakota Wesleyan
University**

Course: WEB 315 A - Mobile Applications	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 5 / 6 (83.33%)

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 315 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean		
Q1 Student status:	0	1	0	3	1	5	3.8	.98	

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 315 - A							Std Dev
	Responses					Course		
	M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	
Q2 Class is requirement for:	3	0	0	0	2	5	3.4	1.96

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 315 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	
Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40	
Q6 I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	
Q7 Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q8 Technical support was available.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40	
Q9 I received regular updates regarding course activities.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q10 Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q11 An effective learning community was established in the course.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40	
Q12 Registration for the course was easy.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations	Herges, Kyle N							
	Responses					Individual		
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q13 The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0
Q14 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q15 The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q16 The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q17 The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q18 The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q19 The instructor was available for questions when needed.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q20 The instructor was well prepared for class.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q21 Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q22 The instructor challenged me to think critically.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q23 The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q24 The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate: 40.00% (2 of 5)
1 Actively learning by trial and error verses being lectured and walked through programs.
2 A good learning environment that is easy to ask questions and collaborate in.

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: 40.00% (2 of 5)
1 n/a
2 I would like the weekly discussions better if they were in class rather than on tigernet.

Course:	WEB 399 A - Special Topics: Mobile Usability	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 2 (100%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1	Perhaps longer class time if possible.

Course:	WEB 399 A - Special Topics: Mobile Usability	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 2 (100%)

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 399 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean		
Q1 Student status:	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.0	0	

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 399 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean		
Q2 Class is requirement for:	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 399 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q6 I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q7 Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q8 Technical support was available.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q9 I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q10 Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q11 An effective learning community was established in the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q12 Registration for the course was easy.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations	Herges, Kyle N								
	Responses					Individual			Std Dev
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q13 The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q14 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q15 The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q16 The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q17 The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q18 The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q19 The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q20 The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q21 Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q22 The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q23 The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q24 The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1	The strongest quality of the course was being able to apply what we were learning to a real-world project (Scotland, SD website redesign). This project was a very good representation of the process involved in redesigning an existing website and the challenges associated with the task.

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1	At this point, I don't have any suggestions for improvement. I greatly enjoyed the large project and the smaller projects to work on applying additional skills.

Course:	MTM 399 A - Special Topics:Mobile Applications	Department:	MTM
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	9 / 12 (75%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							Std Dev
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	5	2	2	0	0	9	4.3	.82
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	5	3	1	0	0	9	4.4	.68
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	6	1	2	0	0	9	4.4	.83
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	4	4	1	0	0	9	4.3	.67
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	5	3	1	0	0	9	4.4	.68
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	2	3	0	0	9	4.1	.87
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	3	2	0	0	8	4.1	.78
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	3	2	0	0	8	4.1	.78
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	4	2	0	0	9	4.1	.74
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	5	3	1	0	0	9	4.4	.68
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	4	4	1	0	0	9	4.3	.67
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	4	1	0	0	9	4.3	.67

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	22.22% (2 of 9)
1	Help students feel more fully prepared to take on the homework and assignments.
2	The suggestion I would make is to go through the earlier chapters of the book while still in the process of design and prototyping our web applications, especially for individuals that do not regularly use mobile apps.

**SP 11-12 In-Class Course Evaluation - End of Term
SP 2011-2012**

**Dakota Wesleyan
University**

Course: MTM 399 A - Special Topics:Mobile Applications	Department: MTM
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 6 / 12 (50%)

In-Class Course Evaluation		MTM 399 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

In-Class Course Evaluation		MTM 399 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q5	Student status:	0	0	2	3	0	5	3.6	.49
Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5									

In-Class Course Evaluation		MTM 399 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q6	Class is requirement for:	3	1	0	1	0	5	4.2	1.17
Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1									

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q7	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	4	1	1	0	0	6	4.5	.76
Q8	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37
Q9	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	4	1	0	1	0	6	4.3	1.11
Q10	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	4	1	1	0	0	6	4.5	.76
Q11	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	4	0	1	0	0	5	4.6	.80
Q12	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q13	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37
Q14	The instructor was well prepared for class.	4	1	1	0	0	6	4.5	.76
Q15	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	4	1	1	0	0	6	4.5	.76
Q16	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q17	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	4	2	0	0	0	6	4.7	.47
Q18	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	5	1	0	0	0	6	4.8	.37
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate: 50.00% (3 of 6)
1 being able to interact with classmates and also have the feasibility to contact the professor outside of class for help on a homework assignment.
2 The strongest quality of the course would be how the course and material was shown in real world applications and how the skills learned from the course can be applied in several ways.
3 That Kyle really knew what he was doing and was willing to share all of his knowledge with us

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: 33.33% (2 of 6)
1 I would suggest having students reading the first three chapters of the text earlier on in the course for a better understanding of what will be done in the course.
2 Find another teacher like Kyle to teach the class