

Course: WEB 310 A - Web Usability	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 8 / 9 (88.89%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6	.70
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	5	2	0	1	0	8	4.4	.99
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6	.70
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	4	2	2	0	0	8	4.3	.83
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6	.48
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	5	2	1	0	0	8	4.5	.71
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1									

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: 50.00% (4 of 8)
1 Nothing, very we done.
2 One thing I would have liked to see was some examples of projects and information to that students fully understand what all needs to be included. The reason I say this is because I am more of a visual learner.
3 Much like the Subway assignment, studying more real life scenarios.
4 I am not a huge fan of the weekly discussions.

Course:	WEB 399 A - Sel Topic: Usability for the Web	Department:	WEB
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	3 / 5 (60%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.3	.94
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	1	2	0	0	0	3	4.3	.47

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	33.33% (1 of 3)
1	I would suggest having the students working together earlier on in the course so when they begin on the large group project they are already working in a cohesive manner.

FA 2012-2013 End of Term In-Class Evaluation
FA 2012-2013

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course: WEB 399 A - Sel Topic: Usability for the Web	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 5 / 5 (100%)

In-Class Course Evaluation		WEB 399 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40	
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation		WEB 399 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q5	Student status:	0	1	1	3	0	5	3.4	.80	

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation		WEB 399 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q6	Class is requirement for:	4	0	1	0	0	5	4.6	.80	

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N								
		Responses					Individual			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q7	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q8	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	4	1	0	0	0	5	4.8	.40	
Q9	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q10	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q11	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q12	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	3	1	1	0	0	5	4.4	.80	
Q13	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q14	The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q15	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q16	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q17	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q18	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate: 60.00% (3 of 5)
1 It has a lot of quality information.
2 The strongest qualities of the course were the hands-on portions, specifically the prototyping phase of the website redesign.
3 Being able to design our own websites using our own imagination was really fun.

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: 60.00% (3 of 5)
1 Never have it at 8 in the morning!!!!!!!!!!!!
2 My suggestion would be to start with a entirely new website as opposed to redesigning an existing one, as that would't seem to be quite as daunting of a task.
3 I hate to say this, especially if he knows who said this, but I really haven't been happy with some of the grades that I have gotten. I just prefer to not be a jerk and voice that during class. There was a project in which I thought I deserved a much better grade because of what I did for the project. The worst part about it was that another student, who I felt did a very inferior job to what I did, got a better grade than I did. I hate to point out things like this because I don't want to hurt my relationship with Kyle, but I kind of have felt like I have been held to a much higher standard than some of the rest of the class.