

Course:	GDS 303 A - Advanced Digital Imaging	Department:	GDS
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	4 / 9 (44.44%)

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		GDS 303 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		GDS 303 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q6	Technical support was available.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		GDS 303 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q11	Student status:	0	1	2	1	0	4	3.0	.71	

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		GDS 303 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q12	Class is requirement for:	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N								
		Responses					Individual			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0	0	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	75.00% (3 of 4)
1	Everything within the course.
2	Allowed us to use skills we are going to need when we graduate. Also allowing us use our design skills to create advertisements.
3	I liked that we were able to get critiqued on your work for the chance to revise and make it better.

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (2 of 4)
1	Keep doing what your doing
2	Nothing

SP 2014-2015 In Class Course Evaluations - End of Term
SP 2014-2015

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course:	GDS 303 A - Advanced Digital Imaging	Department:	GDS
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	8 / 9 (88.89%)

In-Class Course Evaluation	GDS 303 - A							
	Responses					Course		
	F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1 Student status:	0	1	1	5	1	8	3.8	.83

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation	GDS 303 - A							
	Responses					Course		
	M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q2 Class is requirement for:	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Course Evaluation	GDS 303 - A								
	Responses					Course			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	4	4	0	0	0	8	4.5	.50	
Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6	.70	
Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	6	0	1	0	0	7	4.7	.70	
Q6 I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	7	0	0	1	0	8	4.6	.99	
Q7 Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	3	3	0	1	1	8	3.8	1.39	
Q8 Technical support was available.	5	1	2	0	0	8	4.4	.86	
Q9 I received regular updates regarding course activities.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6	.48	
Q10 Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33	
Q11 An effective learning community was established in the course.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6	.70	
Q12 Registration for the course was easy.	5	1	2	0	0	8	4.4	.86	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations	Herges, Kyle N								
	Responses					Individual			
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13 The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33	
Q14 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0	
Q15 The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43	
Q16 The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	4	3	1	0	0	8	4.4	.70	
Q17 The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	6	1	0	1	0	8	4.5	1	
Q18 The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43	
Q19 The instructor was available for questions when needed.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43	
Q20 The instructor was well prepared for class.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43	
Q21 Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6	.48	
Q22 The instructor challenged me to think critically.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6	.70	
Q23 The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	4	2	1	1	0	8	4.1	1.05	
Q24 The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	62.50% (5 of 8)
1	A knowledgeable instructor
2	Dynamic teaching and value based skill and resource focus. Even the activities and assignments that did not provide direct quantifiable outcomes clearly built and improved on existing skills and practices that could then be taken and applied in a million other ways.
3	no comment.
4	There was a lot of in class discussion.
5	Being able to make a project after learning something new and showing it to the class for feedback.

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?

Response Rate: 50.00% (4 of 8)

1	We've talked before but I struggled with the process and organizational methods of designs. I'm not a huge fan of online discussions when we can have them in class and I would love to have a few REAL presentations of our work.
2	Encourage students to interact and use the correct design terminology to show their work. Students should have a better understanding of concept and the importance of it. It seems as if students are making decisions about their designs with no apparent reason.
3	Good Job!
4	I really enjoyed this class it was defiantly my favourite course of the semester. I honesty don't think I would change anything about this course to improve it. I liked how we would learn a new technique then apply it right away to a project. I also really liked how we would always share our projects in front of the class for feedback.

Course:	GDS 303 A - Advanced Digital Imaging	Department:	GDS
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 3 (66.67%)

In-Class Course Evaluation		GDS 303 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	Student status:	1	0	0	1	0	2	2.5	1.50

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation		GDS 303 - A							
		Responses					Course		
		M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q2	Class is requirement for:	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Course Evaluation		GDS 303 - A								
		Responses					Course			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q3	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q4	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q5	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q6	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.0	1	
Q8	Technical support was available.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N								
		Responses					Individual			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	.50	
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	0	1	1	0	0	2	3.5	.50	
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0	0	
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	0	1	1	0	0	2	3.5	.50	
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	0	1	1	0	0	2	3.5	.50	
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0	0	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	100.00% (2 of 2)
1	The tutorials were always related to what we were about to have an assignment over. Small class.Nice,big Mac computers. Help always available. 24hr Mac lab access. Past tutorials were encouraged to be incorporated into current assignments which helps the retain the knowledge of how to do them.
2	n/a

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	100.00% (2 of 2)
1	I think less points for the discussion questions would be nice so that they do not hurt our grade so badly when we forget to do them. It might be nice to have sometimes where an assignment is to make a past assignment better.

Course: WEB 305 A - Advanced Digital Imaging	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 7 / 8 (87.50%)

In-Class Instructor Evaluations		Herges, Kyle N							
		Responses					Individual		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7	.45
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7	.45
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4	.49
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	3	4	0	0	0	7	4.4	.49
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7	.45
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	4	2	1	0	0	7	4.4	.73
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6	.49
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7	.45
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6	.49
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6	.49
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	5	2	0	0	0	7	4.7	.45
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	3	0	0	0	7	4.6	.49

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: 14.29% (1 of 7)
1 I don't have any suggestions at this point. I believe the course runs quite well as is so far since everything is building off of our previous work.

Course: WEB 305 A - Advanced Digital Imaging	Department: WEB
Responsible Faculty: Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected: 5 / 8 (62.50%)

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 305 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	F	S	J	S	O	N	Mean		
Q1 Student status:	0	0	3	2	0	5	3.4	.49	

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 305 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	M	M	GE	E	O	N	Mean		
Q2 Class is requirement for:	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

In-Class Course Evaluation	WEB 305 - A								
	Responses					Course			Std Dev
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	1	3	1	0	0	5	4.0	.63	
Q6 I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q7 Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q8 Technical support was available.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q9 I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q10 Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q11 An effective learning community was established in the course.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q12 Registration for the course was easy.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Instructor Evaluations	Herges, Kyle N								
	Responses					Individual			Std Dev
	SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q13 The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q14 The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q15 The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q16 The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q17 The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q18 The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	1	1	1	0	5	3.8	1.17	
Q19 The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	2	0	0	0	5	4.6	.49	
Q20 The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	
Q21 Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q22 The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	2	1	0	0	5	4.2	.75	
Q23 The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	1	4	0	0	0	5	4.2	.40	
Q24 The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	.49	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate: 20.00% (1 of 5)
1 The strongest quality of the course was that it taught the theory and many uses and applications of the Photoshop tools rather than just teaching the tools.

Faculty: Herges, Kyle N
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate: No participants responded to this question. (0 of 5)