Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	4 / 16 (25%)

				D	MD 203 -	A	
-Class Course Evaluation - Course		ı	Respons	es			Course
	F	S	J	S	0	N	Mean
Q1 Student status:	0	3	0	1	0	4	2.5

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

				DMD 2	03 - A		
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course	Responses						Course
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean
Q2 Class is requirement for:	2	1	0	1	0	4	4.0

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

		DMD 203 - A									
In	-Class Course Evaluation - Course		Res	por	ises	es Cours					
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean			
Q:	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0			
Q	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0			
Q	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0			
Q	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

					DMD	203 - <i>A</i>	١				
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course		Responses			Course					
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean			
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5			
Q8	Technical support was available.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8			
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0			
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0			
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5			
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

				K	ίyle	Hero	jes	
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		Res	pon		Individu		
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.0

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

	Qu	uestion:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
ı	Respons	e Rate:	<b>75.00</b> % ( <b>3</b> of <b>4</b> )
	1 The	allowanc	e of creativity and freedom

- 2 Being introduced into the technical world and how we can take one image and completely change it to something else and have it still counted as art.
- Being able to communicate with the class and presenting the assignments that we worked on over the weekend and being able to hear feedback from classmates to help improve on the assignments that I was working on and helped me become more creative.

Faculty:	Kyle Herges
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (2 of 4)

- 1 N/A. I really enjoyed the class and how it was ran and thought it was ran greatly thank you for the awesome semester
- I would not change anything about this class. Professor Herges helped explain Photoshop and the tools that come along with Photoshop. It helped us students that have never used Photoshop before better understand the tools and just the app in general. He helped us be more comfortable with our designs and being able to show our creativity with the assignments that he assigned. Overall, I really enjoyed this class that was taught by Professor Herges.

Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	4 / 12 (33.33%)

				DI	MD 203 -	A	
-Class Course Evaluation - Course		ı	Respons	es			Course
	F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean
Q1 Student status:	0	2	1	1	0	4	2.8

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

L. Clare Course Exclusive Course				DMD 2	03 - A		
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		R	esponses	Course			
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean
Q2 Class is requirement for:	2	0	1	0	1	4	3.5

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

	Course Evaluation Course					DMD 203 -						
ľ	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course	Responses						Course				
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean				
	Q3 Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	0	2	0	0	4	4.0				
1	Q4 Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3				
	Q5 Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3				
1	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3				

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

		DMD 203 - A									
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course	Responses Cours				Course					
		SA	SA A N D SD N				Mean				
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	1	1	2	0	0	4	3.8			
Q8	Technical support was available.	1	2	1	0	0	4	4.0			
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3			
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	0	2	0	0	4	4.0			
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	2	0	2	0	0	4	4.0			
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

		Kyle He		Her	erges					
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations		Responses		;	Individua				
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3		
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	0	2	0	0	4	4.0		
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3		
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3		
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3		

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

	Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Respo	onse Rate:	<b>75.00</b> % ( <b>3</b> of <b>4</b> )
1 T	he class wa	as very interactive and the professor answered all of my questions.

2	Professor Herges is always quick to respond to emails if you have a problem or questions about assignments or if you have to miss class.
3	Homework

	Faculty:	Kyle Herges						
	Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?							
Res	ponse Rate:	50.00% (2 of 4)						
1	None							
2	None							

Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 15 (13.33%)

ln-	n-Class Course Evaluation - Course			DMD 203 -							
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean			
Q.	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0			
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0			
Q:	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0			
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0			

		DMD 203 - A							
In-CI	ass Course Evaluation - Course	Responses C			Course				
		SA A N D SD		SA		SA A N D SD		N	Mean
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.5	
Q6	Technical support was available.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

DMD 203 - A								
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course	Responses					Course		
	F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean	
Q11 Student status:	0	1	1	0	0	2	2.5	

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [0] Other=5

L Olympia Full of the Committee of the C	DMD 203 - A											
s Course Evaluation - Course		F	Responses				Course					
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean					
Q12 Class is requirement for:	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0					

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

		Kyle Herges										
In-CI	ass Instructor Evaluations				Responses In			In	dividual			
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean				
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0				
	Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Dis	agre	e=2	[SE	)] S	trong	ly Di	sagree=1				

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	50.00% (1 of 2)
1 class enviro	nment

Faculty:	Kyle Herges
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 2)

Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	8 / 13 (61.54%)

In-	Class Course Evaluation - Course		Res	_	- A Course			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8

		DMD 203 - A											
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course	Responses SA A N D SD N					Course						
						N	Mean						
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	6	1	0	1	0	8	4.5					
Q6	Technical support was available.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8					
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	6	0	1	0	0	7	4.7					
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8					
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	5	2	1	0	0	8	4.5					
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8					

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

		DMD 203 - A									
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		I	Course								
	F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean				
Q11 Student status:	0	4	4	0	0 8		2.5				

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

to Olive O week Facilities O week	DMD 203 - A										
Class Course Evaluation - Course		Responses									
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean				
Q12 Class is requirement for:	5	2	0	1	0	8	4.4				

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [0] Other=1

				ŀ	(yle	Her	erges			
In-CI	ass Instructor Evaluations		Res	por	ıses	;	In	dividual		
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean		
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0		
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9		
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9		
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6		
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6		
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6		
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9		
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8		
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	5	3	0	0	0	8	4.6		
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9		
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	6	1	1	0	0	8	4.6		
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9		

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	<b>75.00</b> % (6 of 8)

- We were allowed an extra few days to fix up our assignments that were critiqued in class. I also like how the instructor gave his own opinion on the assignments and what he thinks would make it better.
- 2 Very helpful and responded quickly when we need help.
- 3 Kyle keeps class fun and light but knows when to get to work.
- 4 I liked how we were able to work individually on our assignments. I also liked the regular schedule we followed in class (Learn on Thursday & present on Tuesday).
- 5 Doing examples in class was very helpful.

3 He is doing a good job.

6 Being able to fix assignments for extra credit.

	Faculty:	Kyle Herges						
	Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?							
Re	sponse Rate:	37.50% (3 of 8)						
1	There isn't re	eally anything that stood out to me that I thought should've been changed. I enjoyed my time in the class and learned a lot about photoshop.						
2	Nothing!							

Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	2 / 6 (33.33%)

In-	Class Course Evaluation - Course		Daa	_	- A Course			
		SA	Res A	por N	ses D	SD	N	Mean
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0

	the Owner Enduring Owner	DMD 203 - A											
In-C	lass Course Evaluation - Course		Re	spon			Course						
		SA	SA A N D SD				N	Mean					
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0					
Q6	Technical support was available.	0	2	0	0	0	2	4.0					
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0					
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0					
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0					
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0					

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

In-Class Course Evaluation - Course		DMD 203 - A										
		ı	Respons	Course								
	F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean					
Q11 Student status:	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.0					

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{F}] \ \ \textbf{Freshman=1} \ \ [\textbf{S}] \ \ \textbf{Sophomore=2} \ \ [\textbf{J}] \ \ \textbf{Junior=3} \ \ [\textbf{S}] \ \ \textbf{Senior=4} \ \ [\textbf{0}] \ \ \textbf{Other=5}$ 

L Olympia System Communication		DMD 203 - A											
In-Class Course Evaluation - Course Responses Co						Course							
	М	М	GE	E	0	N	Mean						
Q12 Class is requirement for:	1	1	0	0	0	2	4.5						

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [0] Other=1

			jes					
In-CI	ass Instructor Evaluations	I	Res	pon	ses		ln	dividual
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.0
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.0

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?

Response Rate: 100.00% (2 of 2)

- We all had the opportunity to express our creative thinking to our classmates through individual creations. I thought we had a great pace throughout the semester and I now feel much more confident and comfortable whenever working with Photoshop.
- 2 Having creative freedom to use our imaginations and come up with our own design ideas.

Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?	
Response Rate: 50.00% (1 of 2)	

If anything, because it was such a small class, I would recommend allowing us to respond to just one discussion post. There were a couple of days I had to try and remember to get one other comment in the same morning they were due because half of our class didn't even attempt the posts, which their laziness would affect my grade. But that small problem varies from class to class. Bigger classrooms have more students willing to do the posts, so it's less of a problem when responding.

Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	4 / 13 (30.77%)

	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course				DMD 203 - A											
In-C					nses	Course										
		SA	А	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev							
Q1	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50							
Q2	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50							
Q3	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50							
Q4	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43							

						DMD 203 - A												
In-Cla	In-Class Course Evaluation - Course				ses	Course												
						SD	N	Mean	Std Dev									
Q5	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50									
Q6	Technical support was available.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43									
Q7	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.3	.83									
Q8	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43									
Q9	An effective learning community was established in the course.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43									
Q10	Registration for the course was easy.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47									

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

						DMD	203 -	A			
In-Class	s Course Evaluation - Course		Re	spon	ses		Course				
		F	S	J	s	0	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q11	Student status:	1	2	0	0	1	4	2.5	1.50		

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [O] Other=5

		DMD 203 - A										
In-Cla	ss Course Evaluation - Course		Re	esponse	s		Course					
		М	М	GE	Е	0	N	Mean	Std Dev			
Q12	Class is requirement for:	2	1	0	0	1	4	3.8	1.64			

Responses: [M] Major=5 [M] Minor=4 [GE] Gen Ed=3 [E] Elective=2 [O] Other=1

				ŀ	Herç	ges, I	⟨yl∈	N N		
In-Cla	ass Instructor Evaluations	ı	Res	por	nses	3	Individual			
		SA	Α	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.8	.43	
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47	
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	2	1	0	0	0	3	4.7	.47	
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.5	.50	

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

Question:	What were the strongest qualities of the course?
Response Rate:	100.00% (4 of 4)

The way he teacher the techniques we use in class works really well.

Mr. Herges is extremely kind hearted. He is always more than willing to help with assignments and encourages us to email him our work before we turn it in so he can help us on it. He is very helpful and i look forward to having classes with him in the future.

I thought that this course was well organized and the objectives of each assignment were clearly communicated.

Access to computers

Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N
Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?
Response Rate:	100.00% (4 of 4)

1 The discussions, I feel like we don't get anything out of them.

The course involved a weekly cl\*\*\*\*wide discussion of each person's assignment. This was useful because then a student can see every other iteration and hear all of the instructor's tips on each problem. Students in the class were not always engaged in the discussions (it was at 8 am). I think we could have done a few one-on-one discussions and had some sort of assignment where we critique with more depth.

- \* Inappropriate words were found and removed from this response.
- 3 Keep doing what you a re doing.
- 4 Easier projects

## FA 2015-2016 In-Class Course Evaluations - End of Term FA 2015-2016

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	8 / 9 (88.89%)

				H	ler	ges	, Ky	le N				
In-C	lass Instructor Evaluations	Responses						Individual				
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Sto			
Q1	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33			
Q2	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q3	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q4	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43			
Q5	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q6	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q7	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43			
Q8	The instructor was well prepared for class.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q9	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	7	1	0	0	0	8	4.9	.33			
Q10	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			
Q11	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	6	2	0	0	0	8	4.8	.43			
Q12	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	8	0	0	0	0	8	5.0	0			

	Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N				
	Question:	What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?				
Re	sponse Rate:	<b>50.00</b> % ( <b>4</b> of <b>8</b> )				
1 i have no suggestions, i think that this course was exciting and will be beneficial to me in the future.						
2	Nothing it was all really good and does not need to be improved.					
3	The design classes at DWU are the only ones I have ever taken and between the few instructors I've had, these ones are easily my favorite. The assignments are structured the same way each week and the same deadlines are set each week so there are never any surprises. The only suggestion I have is to put more emphasis on the discussions each week and maybe discuss them in class. I had a lot of trouble remembering to do them this semester, and if discussing them was apart of class it would be more of a priority and easier to get done I think.					
4	The only thing	I did't like about this course was the time. Other than that it was great!				

## FA 2014-2015 In-Class Course Evaluation - End of Term FA 2014-2015

Dakota Wesleyan University

Course:	DMD 203 A - Digital Imaging	Department:	DMD
Responsible Faculty:	Mr. Kyle Herges	Responses / Expected:	10 / 11 (90.91%)

					D	MD 203 - A	4					
In-Class Course Evaluation		R	espons	ses		Course						
	F	s	J	s	o	N	Mean	Std Dev				
Q1 Student status:	0	2	3	4	1	10	3.4	.92				

**Responses:** [**F**] Freshman=1 [**S**] Sophomore=2 [**J**] Junior=3 [**S**] Senior=4 [**O**] Other=5

	DMD 203 - A													
In-Class Course Evaluation		R	Course											
	М	М	GE	E	О	N	Mean	Std Dev						
Q2 Class is requirement for:	6	2	1	0	1	10	4.2	1.25						

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Major=5} \ \ [\textbf{M}] \ \ \text{Minor=4} \ \ [\textbf{GE}] \ \ \text{Gen Ed=3} \ \ [\textbf{E}] \ \ \text{Elective=2} \ \ [\textbf{O}] \ \ \text{Other=1}$ 

		DMD 203 - A										
In-C	lass Course Evaluation	Responses Cou							ourse			
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev			
Q3	Guidelines for course assignments were clear.	9	1	0	0	0	10	4.9	.30			
Q4	Learning resources (text, journal articles, library resources, websites) were accessible.	4	5	1	0	0	10	4.3	.64			
Q5	Course material prepared me to complete the course assignments.	7	2	0	0	0	9	4.8	.42			
Q6	I was given the opportunity to interact and actively participate with my classmates in course learning activities.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46			
Q7	Course discussion postings helped me understand course content.	6	2	2	0	0	10	4.4	.80			
Q8	Technical support was available.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46			
Q9	I received regular updates regarding course activities.	7	2	1	0	0	10	4.6	.66			
Q10	Course was well organized and easy to navigate.	8	2	0	0	0	10	4.8	.40			
Q11	An effective learning community was established in the course.	7	2	1	0	0	10	4.6	.66			
Q12	Registration for the course was easy.	7	2	1	0	0	10	4.6	.66			

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=5 [A] Agree=4 [N] Neutral=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

		Herges, Kyle N									
In-C	ass Instructor Evaluations	F	es	por	ıse	s	ıal				
		SA	A	N	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev		
Q13	The instructor demonstrates enthusiasm for the course material.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q14	The instructor demonstrates knowledge of course material.	8	2	0	0	0	10	4.8	.40		
Q15	The instructor's presentations and explanations of content were helpful in understanding the subject matter.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q16	The instructor used a variety of teaching methods to help me gain an understanding of the course material.	4	5	1	0	0	10	4.3	.64		
Q17	The instructor encouraged me to actively participate in the learning process.	8	1	1	0	0	10	4.7	.64		
Q18	The instructor's grading policies were provided and followed.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q19	The instructor was available for questions when needed.	5	4	0	0	0	9	4.6	.50		
Q20	The instructor was well prepared for class.	8	2	0	0	0	10	4.8	.40		
Q21	Feedback from the course instructor related to course assignments was received in a timely manner.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q22	The instructor challenged me to think critically.	5	5	0	0	0	10	4.5	.50		
Q23	The instructor used questions to stimulate and guide discussion.	7	3	0	0	0	10	4.7	.46		
Q24	The instructor was effective in teaching the course.	7	2	0	0	0	9	4.8	.42		

Question: What were the strongest qualities of the course?

Response Rate: 70.00% (7 of 10)

- 1 the projects were really fun!
- 4. No book. The nature of this class and Kyle's teaching style and use of online resources makes the lack of a book a null point. Very informative class. Teaching us the tools to learn was his strong quality and he allowed us to experiment and learn by making mistakes in a constructive environment.
- 3 reviewing each design in class
- The best part of this class was the set up. We had discussions over our projects on Tuesdays, which really helped in making you better at Photoshop. On Thursdays we would learn some different things about Photoshop then get a project assigned to us.
- 5 | I enjoyed learning how to manipulate my photos in so many ways, and I look forward to using what I have learned in the future.
- 6 kyle was very good at letting us know how to do what we needed to do. he was very good at showing us what to do and how to do it.
- **7** Being able to have enough time to make quality designs and effective time to reach my deadlines.

	Faculty:	Herges, Kyle N						
Question: What suggestions would you offer the instructor to improve the course?								
R	esponse Rate:	<b>40.00</b> % ( <b>4</b> of <b>10</b> )						
1	1 i wouldn't change anything about this class, i loved every minute of it.							
2	During the example portion of every assignment, having a few useful shortcuts (keyboard) to add to a cumulative list of shortcuts for the course. This list could be kept on tiger net and contributed by the class via the forum. I think this would be a very useful addition and would require little work and no additional grading. This would just be a great resource for students wanting to optimize their time.							
3	I don't have any suggestions. I think this class it taught very well and it was fun to be in!							
4	More assignme	ents that dealt with more clients.						